

**BIODEGRADABLE POLYMERIC FLOCCULANTS
BASED ON CASSAVA STARCH GRAFTED WITH
POLYDIALLYLDIMETHYLAMMONIUM CHLORIDE**

by

MOHD AMIN BIN AHMAD RAZALI

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful

First and foremost, I would like to thank to Allah, with His infinite mercy and blessing has guide me to complete my research and this dissertation. May peace and blessings of Allah be upon our Prophet Muhammad S.A.W.

This dissertation will never see the light without the help of generous peoples. I would like to express my profound sense of reverence to my supervisor Prof Dr Azlan Ariffin for his guidance, time and encouragement. His invaluable comments and suggestions during experimental and thesis works has contributed to the success of this research. My sincerely appreciation also goes to my co-supervisor, Prof Dr Hanafi Ismail for his time, great ideas and suggestion to my research. Many thanks also to technical and administrative staff of School of Materials and Mineral Resources Engineering.

I would also like to thank to the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) for providing me financial support through my PHD under program of MYBRAIN 15. Also, my gratitude to Research University (RU) grant [1001/PBHAN/814131] and Research USM Research University Postgraduate Research Grant Scheme (USM-RU-PGRS) [1001/PBAHAN/8046028/] for the funding entire research work.

To my entire lab mate, Riduwan Ramli, Ridwan Hafiz, Afrizal and Saiful, thank you for always giving a hand when I needed. Not forgotten, Wan Hafis Faisal

for helping me to understand utilization of design of experimental. Hope we can do a collaboration project in the future.

My sincerest appreciation also goes to my parents, Ahmad Razali and Siti Hasnah. Thank you for believing in your son, and always pray the best for me. Last but not least, my wife, Kausar Harun and my kids, Yusuf and Maryam, sibling, family in law, thank you very much for understanding, love, nurturing and having encourages me to my best. May Allah bless all of you and only Allah can pay all your kindness.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement.....	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables.....	viii
List of Figures	x
List of Abbreviations.....	xv
List of Symbols	xvii
Abstrak	xix
Abstract	xxi

CHAPTER 1- INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background.....	1
1.2 Problems statement.....	2
1.3 Research objectives	3
1.4 Outline of thesis structure.....	4

CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Polymeric flocculants	6
2.1.1 Natural flocculants.....	7
2.1.2 Synthetic flocculants	8
2.1.3 Characteristics of polymeric flocculants	12
2.2 Natural polymer grafted with synthetic polymers as flocculants	13
2.3 Starch grafted with synthetic polymers as flocculants	19
2.3.1 Variables in grafting process	23
2.3.2 Design of experimental utilized in grafting process.....	26

2.3.3	Characterization of grafted flocculants	32
2.4	Biodegradation of grafted flocculants	36
2.5	Flocculation process and mechanism	40
2.5.1	Charge neutralization.....	42
2.5.2	Bridging mechanism.....	43
2.6	Flocs aggregations	45
2.6.1	Photometric dispersion analyser (PDA)	46
2.6.2	Assessment data from PDA.....	48
2.7	Summary.....	54

CHAPTER 3 - METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	58
3.2	Materials	59
3.3	Experimental design	59
3.4	Experimental set up for grafting reactions	60
3.5	Purification of graft sample by solvent extraction method	61
3.6	Characterization.....	62
3.6.1	Grafting confirmation by FTIR analysis	62
3.6.2	Molecular weight distribution analysis	62
3.6.3	Crystallinity analysis	63
3.6.4	Morphology analysis by SEM	63
3.7	Model fitting and optimization	63
3.8	Soil burial test.....	63
3.9	Preparation of stock kaolin suspension	64
3.10	Flocculation test.....	64
3.10.1	Turbidity and TSS measurement	65

3.10.2	pH measurement.....	66
3.11	Zeta potential measurement.....	66
3.12	Flocs characterization.....	66
3.12.1	Particle size analysis.....	66
3.12.2	Morphologies of flocs by polarizing microscopy.....	66
3.12.3	Flocs aggregation and breakage analysis	67
 CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
4.1	Grafting process consideration.....	68
4.2	Evaluation of process variables via central composite design	71
4.2.1	Characterization of cassava starch grafted with polyDADMAC	71
4.2.1.1	Grafting confirmation.....	71
4.2.1.2	Molecular weight distribution analysis	72
4.2.1.3	Crystallinity analysis.....	73
4.2.1.4	Morphological characteristic.....	74
4.2.1.5	Elemental analysis by EDX	76
4.2.2	Development of regression model equation	78
4.2.3	Analysis of variance	80
4.2.4	Effects of variables	83
4.2.5	Optimization of grafting percentage process.....	87
4.3	Biodegradation properties in a soil environment.....	89
4.3.1	Soil-burial test	89
4.3.2	Biodegradation characterization.....	91
4.3.2.1	Structure characteristic.....	91
4.3.2.2	Molecular weight analysis.....	95
4.3.2.3	Morphological characteristic.....	96

4.4	Flocculation behaviour and mechanism	98
4.4.1	Kaolin suspension characteristic	98
4.4.2	Effect of dosage	99
4.4.3	Effect of grafting percentage	101
4.4.4	Effect of pH	104
4.4.5	Characteristics of flocs	106
4.5	Floc aggregation properties of kaolin suspension	109
4.5.1	Dynamic analysis of flocs aggregation process.....	109
4.5.1.1	Effect of grafting percentage	110
4.5.1.2	Effect of dosage.....	121
4.5.2	Flocs breakage and regrowth.....	127
4.5.2.1	Effect of shear rate	127
4.5.2.2	Effect of breakage time	129

CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1	Conclusion.....	132
5.2	Suggestions for future works.....	133

References	136
------------------	-----

Appendices

List of Publications

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.1 List of natural and synthetic utilized in grafted polymers as flocculants with chemicals method	17
Table 2.2 Development of starch grafted with synthetic polymers as flocculants	21
Table 2.3 Variables in grafting process for starch grafted with synthetic polymers using chemicals method	25
Table 2.4 Molecular weight distribution of HES and HES-g-PAM(Kolya and Tripathy, 2013b)	34
Table 3.1 List of materials used for the research work	59
Table 3.2 Grafting variables and their coded levels for central composite design	60
Table 4.1 Molecular weight distribution profiles for selected grafted samples	72
Table 4.2 Major peaks for native starch and grafted samples	74
Table 4.3 Experimental design matrix for the preparation of cassava starch grafted with polyDADMAC	78
Table 4.4 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for response surface quadratic model for grafting percentage	80
Table 4.5 Constraint applied for optimization	87
Table 4.6 Optimum combinations of optimization on the grafting percentage	87
Table 4.7 Model validation	88

Table 4.8	Detailed calculation of area under peaks at 1000 cm ⁻¹ and 2940 cm ⁻¹	92
Table 4.9	Characteristics of kaolin suspension	99
Table 4.10	The increment ratio of slope	113
Table 4.11	The time weighted <i>ratio</i> average and variances at steady stage region for different grafting percentages	117
Table 4.12	The time weighted <i>ratio</i> average and variances at steady stage region for different dosages (OPT Samples)	125
Table 4.13	Strength and recovery factor at different shear rates	128
Table 4.14	Strength and recovery factor at different breakage times	130

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Classification of flocculants	7
Figure 2.2	The structure of polyDADMAC for (A) six (B) five - membered rings (John et al., 2002)	10
Figure 2.3	A polymer chain in a random coil configuration. The end-to-end distance r , is shown	13
Figure 2.4	Schematic of natural polymer grafted with synthetic polymer	14
Figure 2.5	Approachability of grafted polymer and single polymer to the particles suspension	15
Figure 2.6	Structure of amylose and amylopectin (Kadokawa, 2012)	19
Figure 2.7	The different points between CCD and Box-Behnken design	27
Figure 2.8	Example plot of normal probability distribution (Noshadi et al., 2012)	29
Figure 2.9	Example plot of internally studentized residual versus predicted response (Samavati and Manoochehrizade, 2013)	30
Figure 2.10	Example of three dimensional plots between two variables (Sahu et al., 2010)	31
Figure 2.11	Comparison spectra between starch and grafted polymers (Yang et al., 2014b)	33
Figure 2.12	Intensity comparisons between starch and grafted polymers	34
Figure 2.13	The granular structure of starch found by (a) Mishra et al. (2011) and (b) Jyothi et al. (2010)	35

Figure 2.14	Structure of (a) lacy (Mishra et al., 2011) (b) non-planar (Nair and Jyothi, 2014) and (c) porous (Witono et al., 2013) for starch grafted with synthetic polymers	36
Figure 2.15	Biodegradation evaluated by intrinsic viscosity (Sen et al., 2011)	37
Figure 2.16	The fungi growth at 0 (a), 7 (b), 14 (c) and 21 (d) days (Das et al., 2013)	38
Figure 2.17	FTIR of poly(acrylamide-co-acrylic acid)-grafted guar gum (a) before (b) stage I (c) stage II and (d) stage III of biodegradation	39
Figure 2.18	The morphology of grafted samples after biodegradation process at different level of stages (Mittal et al., 2013)	40
Figure 2.19	Charge neutralization schematic mechanism (Sharma et al., 2006)	42
Figure 2.20	The illustration of bridging mechanism by polymeric flocculants (Hocking et al., 1999)	43
Figure 2.21	Illustration of adsorption by (a) electrostatic attraction (b) hydrogen bonding and salt-linkage (Williams, 2007)	44
Figure 2.22	Re-stabilization by adsorbed polymer (Tripathy and De, 2006)	45
Figure 2.23	Output of voltage and <i>rms</i> derived process	47
Figure 2.24	Illustrations of all output from PDA (Staaks et al., 2011)	48
Figure 2.25	Three phases in FI curve from PDA data (Wang et al., 2012)	49
Figure 2.26	Best fit line construct in the growth phase (Wei et al., 2009)	50
Figure 2.27	Turbidity profile based on <i>ratio</i> in PDA (Li et al., 1999)	52

Figure 2.28	The typical <i>ratio</i> curve in monitoring breakage and re-growth (Huang et al., 2014)	53
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research work	58
Figure 3.2	Schematic of the arrangement of flocs aggregation analysis	67
Figure 4.1	Thermal decomposition of potassium persulphate (KPS)	68
Figure 4.2	The propagation of cyclic radical formation	69
Figure 4.3	Formation of complex radical and cassava starch grafted with polyDADMAC	70
Figure 4.4	FTIR spectra of native starch, monomer DADMAC, and grafted samples	71
Figure 4.5	XRD patterns for native starch and grafted samples	73
Figure 4.6	SEM morphology of (a) native starch, (b) R11, (c) R25, and (d) OPT samples	75
Figure 4.7	SEM/EDX of (a) native starch, (b) R11, (c) R25 and (d) OPT samples	77
Figure 4.8	Actual versus predicted values of the grafting variables	81
Figure 4.9	Normal probability plot of the residuals	82
Figure 4.10	Plot of residuals versus predicted response	83
Figure 4.11	Three-dimensional response surface plot on grafting percentage as a function of mole ratio of DADMAC to starch (AGU) and initiator concentration [temperature:50 °C and reaction time: 4 h]	84

Figure 4.12	Three-dimensional response surface plot on the grafting percentage as a function of mole ratio of DADMAC to starch (AGU) and reaction time [temperature: 50 °C and initiator concentration: 0.06 g]	85
Figure 4.13	Three-dimensional response surface plots on the percentage grafting as a function of temperature and reaction time [mole ratio of DADMAC to starch (AGU): 1.25 and initiator concentration: 0.06 g]	86
Figure 4.14	Weight loss of native cassava starch and grafted samples in soil	89
Figure 4.15	IR spectra of starch before and after biodegradation	91
Figure 4.16	IR spectra of before and after biodegradation sample for grafted samples	93
Figure 4.17	Mw before and after biodegradation for grafted samples	95
Figure 4.18	Morphological of native starch (a) before and (b) after soil burial degradation	96
Figure 4.19	Morphological characteristic before and after soil burial for (a) R11 (b) R25 and (c) OPT samples	97
Figure 4.20	Biodegradation sample of OPT at 3000 magnification	98
Figure 4.21	Effect of dosage on (a) turbidity and (b) TSS removal	100
Figure 4.22	Effect of grafting percentage on (a) turbidity and TSS removal at 15 ppm of dosage	102
Figure 4.23	Effect of grafting percentage on zeta potential supernatant at 15 ppm of dosage	103

Figure 4.24	Effect of pH on (a) turbidity and TSS removal at 15 ppm of dosage	104
Figure 4.25	Effect of pH on zeta potential measurement at 15 ppm	105
Figure 4.26	Particle size of kaolin particles and flocs formed after treated with gelatinized starch, R11, R25, and OPT samples	107
Figure 4.27	Images of (a) kaolin particles and flocs formed after treated with (b) gelatinized starch, (c) R11, (d) R25, and (e) OPT samples	109
Figure 4.28	Effects of different grafting percentage on flocculation index	110
Figure 4.29	Effect of different grafting percentage on flocs growth	111
Figure 4.30	The schematic of proposed mechanism for native starch	114
Figure 4.31	The schematic of proposed mechanism for grafted samples	115
Figure 4.32	The sedimentation slope for native starch and grafted samples	118
Figure 4.33	Effect of dosage on <i>ratio</i> values per seconds	121
Figure 4.34	Flocs growth rate at different dosages of OPT sample	122
Figure 4.35	Schematic illustration of steric re-stabilization of flocs	124
Figure 4.36	Sedimentation rates of flocs formed by different dosages	126
Figure 4.37	Effect of shear rate on breakage and re-growth profiles of flocs	128
Figure 4.38	Effect of breakage time on breakage and re-growth profiles of flocs	130

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Description
<i>ac</i>	Alternating Current
AGU	Anhydrous Glucose Unit
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
CCD	Central Composite Design
DADMAC	Diallyldimethylammonium Chloride
<i>dc</i>	Direct Current
DF	Degree of Freedom
DoE	Design of Experimental
EDX	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
FAU	Formazin Attenuation Unit
FI	Flocculation Index
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infra-Red Spectroscopy
GPC	Gel Permeation Chromatography
HES	Hydroxyethyl Starch
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
KPS	Potassium Persulfate
<i>M_n</i>	Number Average Molecular Weight
<i>M_w</i>	Weight Average Molecular Weight
MWD	Molecular Weight Distribution
<i>M_z</i>	Z-average Molecular Weight
NA	Not Available

NMR	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
NTU	Nephelometric Turbidity Unit
PAA	Polyacrylic Acid
PAM	Polyacrylamide
PDA	Photometric Dispersion Analyser
PDI	Polydispersity Index
PolyDADMAC	Polydiallyldimethylammonium Chloride
PPM	Part per Million
<i>rms</i>	Root Mean Square
rpm	Rotation per Minute
RSM	Response Surface Methodology
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SLS	Static Light Scattering
TOC	Total Organic Carbon
TSS	Total Suspended Solid
XRD	X-ray Powder Diffraction

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol	Description
%	Percentage
°C	Degree Celsius
mg/L	Milligram per Litre
mV	Millivolts
cm ⁻¹	Reciprocal Centimetres
kDa	Kilo Dalton
V	Volt
μm	Micrometer
g/mol	Gram per Mole
Y	Response (dependent variable),
b_0	Constant Coefficient
b_i	Coefficient for The Linear Effect
b_{ii}	Coefficient for The Quadratic Effect
b_{ij}	Coefficient for The Interaction Effect
ϵ	Experimental Error
p	Probabilities
F	Mean Square for Lack of Fit to the Mean Square for Pure Error
R^2	Proportion of Variation
dL/g	Deciliters per gram

Δ	Delta
D_1	Floc Ratio Before Breakage
D_2	Floc Ratio After Breakage
D_3	Floc Ratio in Re-Steady State Region
X_1	Mole Ratio of DADMAC to Starch (AGU)
X_2	Reaction Temperature
X_3	Initiator Concentration
X_4	Reaction Time
N	Total experiment
n	Total Variables
g	gram
mL	Millilitre
$wt\%$	Weight per cent
mm	Millimetre
λ	Wavelength
\AA	Angstrom
W_i	Initial Weight of The Sample
W_r	Weight After Taken Out
dm^3	Cubic Decimetre
T_1	Turbidity or TSS samples After Been Treated
T_0	Initial of Turbidity or TSS Samples
Y	Response
α	Alpha

**POLIMER PENGUMPAL TERBIODEGRADASI BERASASKAN KANJI
UBI KAYU TERCANGKUK DENGAN
POLIDIALLLDIMETILAMMONIUM KLORIDA**

ABSTRAK

Kerja penyelidikan ini telah dilakukan untuk meneroka penyediaan dan mengoptima proses pencangkukan, kelakuan penggumpalan dan kriteria pengaggregatan emping oleh kanji ubi kayu tercangkuk polidiallyldimethylammonium klorida (polyDADMAC). Proses optimum ditentukan dengan kaedah gerak balas permukaan (response surface method, RSM) yang terdiri daripada tiga peringkat dan empat pemboleh ubah berbentuk komposit pusat. Keadaan optimum yang diperolehi adalah 1.96 (nisbah mol DADMAC kepada kanji), 40°C (suhu tindak balas) 0.09 mol/L (kepekatan pemula) dan 4.99 jam (masa tindak balas). Hasil eksperimen sebenar adalah 21.98% pada keadaan yang optimum, yang mana hampir dengan nilai maksimum yang diramalkan iaitu 22.80%. Selepas itu, keupayaan degradasi sampel dicangkukan telah diselidik dengan menggunakan kaedah penanaman tanah. Didapati bahawa peratusan cangkukan yang lebih tinggi menunjukkan penurunan berat yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan sampel-sampel cangkuk yang lain dan kanji asli. Keupayaan degradasi itu disahkan dengan menganalisa kumpulan berfungsi mereka, berat molekul dan morfologi sampel. Keberkesanan penggumpalan bagi sampel yang dicangkukkan telah diselidik di dalam kaolin terampai. Keberkesanaan adalah berdasarkan penyingkiran kekeruhan dan jumlah pepejal terampai (total suspended solid, TSS). Ia menunjukkan bahawa mekanisme bagi sampel dicangkukan adalah peneutralan caj dan perapatan di mana ianya berlaku serentak. Ia juga didapati bahawa penyingkiran bertambah baik dengan peningkatan peratusan cangkuk.

Kelakuan penggumpalan dikaji lebih mendalam dengan memerhatikan pengagregatan, kerosakan dan pertumbuhan semula emping dengan menggunakan penganalisis penyebaran photometrik (photometric dispersion analyser, PDA). Pembesaran emping meningkat dengan peningkatan peratusan cangkuk kerana peningkatan keupayaan dan partikel-partikel telah dinyah-stabilkan. Ia juga mendedahkan peningkatan ricihan dan masa akan mengurangkan faktor kekuatan dan pemulihan bagi emping-emping.

BIODEGRADABLE POLYMERIC FLOCCULANTS BASED ON CASSAVA STARCH GRAFTED WITH POLYDIALLYLDIMETHYLAMMONIUM CHLORIDE

ABSTRACT

This research work was conducted to explore the preparation and optimization of grafting process, flocculation behaviour and flocs aggregation characteristic of cassava starch grafted with polydiallyldimethylammonium chloride (polyDADMAC). The optimization process was achieved by using response surface methodology (RSM) comprising three-levels and four-variables central composite design. The optimum condition derived were 1.96 (mole ratio of DADMAC to starch), 40°C (reaction temperature), 0.09 mol/L (initiator concentration) and 4.99 hour (reaction time). The actual experimental yield was 21.98% at optimum conditions, which was close to the maximum predicted value of 22.80%. Subsequently, the biodegradability of grafted samples was investigated by using soil burial method. It was found that higher grafting percentage shows higher weight loss compared to other grafted sample and native starch. The biodegradability was confirmed by analysis their functional groups, molecular weight and morphology of the samples. The effectiveness flocculation of grafted samples was investigated in kaolin suspension. The effectiveness were based on turbidity and total suspended solid (TSS) removal. It was shown that mechanism for grafted samples are charge neutralization and bridging which occurred concurrently. It was also found that removal was improved with increasing of grafting percentage. The flocculation behaviour was further studied in details by observing the aggregation, breakage and regrowth of flocs using photometric dispersion analyser (PDA). The flocs growth

increase with increasing of grafting percentage because of the improvement of interaction between grafted flocculants and suspended. It was reveal the increased of the shear and time will reduce the strength and recovery factor of flocs.

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research background

The demand of water steadily increased with the population of humans. This trending enhanced water scarcity phenomenon. Water scarcity phenomenon occurred when the water demand exceeding the available of water supplies (Gleick and Ajami, 2014). The problems become more severe when the water pollution spread to the freshwater supply. Most developing countries including Malaysia faced this problem with the major cause is that, the wastewater from industries are not treated before disposing it into rivers (Ujang and Buckley, 2002). According to Lee et al. (2014), the wastewater usually consists of dissolved solids, very fine suspended solids, inorganic and organic particles, metals and also other impurities.

Flocculation is one of the way and cost effective solution to the water treatment problems, especially in the case of suspended particles which cannot be filtered and spontaneously ‘settle’ down by itself. It is a process where dispersed particles are bridged together to form bigger flocs which will settled and cause clarification of the water system (Sharma et al., 2006). Flocculants are classified into two categories, inorganic and organics. Inorganic flocculants mostly used in wastewater treatment are multivalent metals based such as aluminium and ferum (Khalek and Mahmoud, 2011). However, there are several disadvantages using this metal based flocculants. It requires a large volume of dosage, very sensitive to pH changes and cannot be used in the system with very fine dispersed particles.

There are polymeric based which are used as an organic flocculants. This type of flocculants can be divided into two groups which are natural and synthetic. Synthetic polymeric flocculants have been largely used in wastewater treatment, particularly those containing suspended colloidal particles (Lin et al., 2012, Pandey et al., 2014). These flocculants exhibit high efficiency in particle removal, but several flocculants generate “secondary pollution” in the environment (Xie et al., 2007, Xing et al., 2010). Synthetic flocculants and their derivatives pose a number of environmental problems because some of the derivatives are non-biodegradable and the intermediate products of their degradation are hazardous to human health (Ho et al., 2010).

To address this issue, several researchers preferred natural polymers or known as green flocculants such as starch and chitosan (Teh et al., 2014, Yang et al., 2014a). Natural polymers are biodegradable, cheap, and fairly shear stable, but their shelf life depends on their biodegradability (Tripathy and Singh, 2000). Their biodegradability reduces their shelf life. For these reasons, green flocculants with high efficiency removal have attracted attention of researchers. Green flocculants (natural polymer) with high efficiency removal (synthetic polymer) can be achieved by combining these two types of polymeric flocculants.

1.2 Problems statement

Recently, many efforts have been made to produce green flocculants such as starch to solve the environmental issue poses by synthetic polymeric flocculants. Natural polymers are biodegradable, cheap, and fairly shear stable, but their shelf life